

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSENDER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wopto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/768,629	01/29/2004	Karla Weaver	706198-2001	6763
Bingham McC	7590 05/20/201 htchen LLP	EXAMINER		
2020 K Street,	NW .	SCHMIDT, EMILY LOUISE		
Washington, I	OC 20006		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3767	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/20/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
10/768,629	WEAVER ET AL.			
Examiner	Art Unit			
Emily Schmidt	3767			

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply

earned patent term adjustment.	See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
--------------------------------	----------------------

WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.130 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.	5(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed Il apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 Fe	bruary 2010.				
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This a	action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowand	ce except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
	c parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)🖂	4) Claim(s) 1 and 3-7 is/are pending in the application.					
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-7</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Applicati	ion Papers					
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner					
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	rawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction	on is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	miner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign p	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)	All b) Some * c) None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
	application from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	f the certified copies not received.				
Attachmen		0 T				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date				

3) Information Disclosure Statement(c) (FTO/SB/00) Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other: _____

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)

Application/Control Number: 10/768,629 Page 2

Art Unit: 3767

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claim 2 is objected to because of the following informalities: A claim being canceled
must be indicated as "canceled;" the text of the claim must not be presented (MPEP 714).
 Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 2. Claims 1 and 3-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The seating portion which covers a minority of the surface area of the lumen occluding portion in which the slit is disclosed as recited in the claims contains matter new to the application. Nowhere does the specification relate that the seating portion covers a minority of the surface area of the lumen occluding portion.
- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- Claims 1 and 3-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant

regards as the invention. The term minority has not been sufficiently defined. For purposes of examination, the term minority is taken to mean less than fifty percent.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

 The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- Claims 1 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Moorehead et al. (US 5.984.902).

With regard to claim 1, Moorehead teaches a pressure activated valve (Fig. 3) for medical applications comprising: a housing having a lumen extending therethrough from a proximal end to a distal end thereof (Figs. 3 and 7 housing 112); and a flow control membrane extending across the lumen to control flow therethrough wherein the flow control membrane comprises a first membrane (Figs. 3 and 7 element 128) bonded to an annular base member (Figs. 3 and 7 element 124) wherein an area of the base member substantially corresponds to that of the seating portion and wherein the slit extends through the first membrane (Fig. 3), and wherein the thickness of the flow control membrane at the seating portion, as comprised of the first membrane and the annular base member, is greater than the thickness of the lumen occluding portion (Fig. 3), the flow control membrane including a seating portion at which the flow control membrane is coupled to the housing (Fig. 3 the seating portion is taken to be that generally corresponding to 119 and 124) and a lumen occluding portion having a slit (Figs. 3 and 7 see slit

129) extending therethrough so that, when the lumen occluding portion is subjected to a pressure of at least a predetermined threshold level, the lumen occluding portion moves from a closed configuration in which flow through the lumen is prevented to an open configuration in which flow is permitted (Figs. 11-13, Col. 11 lines 12-41), wherein the seating portion covers a minority of a surface area of the lumen occluding portion in which the slit is disposed (Fig. 3).

With regards to claim 3, Moorehead teaches a membrane retention portion of the housing, the membrane retention portion being adapted to apply a retentive compression force to mounting portion (Fig. 3 generally indicated at 116, Col. 10 lines 2-4).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all
 obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1, 3, and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Moorehead (US 5.984.902).

With regard to claims 1 and 4, Moorehead teaches a pressure activated valve (Fig. 3) for medical applications comprising: a housing having a lumen extending therethrough from a proximal end to a distal end thereof (Figs. 3 and 7 housing 112); and a flow control membrane extending across the lumen to control flow therethrough wherein the flow control membrane comprises a first membrane (Figs. 3 and 7 element 128) bonded to an annular base member (Figs. 3 and 7 element 124) wherein an area of the base member substantially corresponds to that

Application/Control Number: 10/768,629

Art Unit: 3767

of the seating portion and wherein the slit extends through the first membrane (Fig. 3), and wherein the thickness of the flow control membrane at the seating portion, as comprised of the first membrane and the annular base member, is greater than the thickness of the lumen occluding portion (Fig. 3), the flow control membrane including a seating portion at which the flow control membrane is coupled to the housing (Fig. 3 the seating portion is taken to be that generally corresponding to 119 and 124) and a lumen occluding portion having a slit (Figs. 3 and 7 see slit 129) extending therethrough so that, when the lumen occluding portion is subjected to a pressure of at least a predetermined threshold level, the lumen occluding portion moves from a closed configuration in which flow through the lumen is prevented to an open configuration in which flow is permitted (Figs. 11-13, Col. 11 lines 12-41), wherein the seating portion covers a minority of a surface area of the lumen occluding portion in which the slit is disposed (Fig. 3). Moorehead does not explicitly disclose the first membrane and base member are adhesively bonded. However, Moorehead does teach that member 124 can be retained effectively using adhesion (Col. 10 lines 11-12). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to bond the first membrane and annular base member with adhesive because Moorhead teaches that adhesive is suitable for use for retention and it is an art recognized effective way of securing two things together so as to maintain them in a desired position.

With regards to claim 3, Moorehead teaches a membrane retention portion of the housing, the membrane retention portion being adapted to apply a retentive compression force to mounting portion (Fig. 3 generally indicated at 116, Col. 10 lines 2-4).

Application/Control Number: 10/768,629

Art Unit: 3767

Claims 5-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Moorehead
 (US 5,984,902) as applied to claims 1 and 2 above, and further in view of Fischer et al. (U.S. Patent 5,944,698).

With regard to claims 5 and 6, Moorehead teaches a pressure activated valve substantially as claimed. Moorehead differs from claims 5 and 6 in that it does not disclose specific thickness range for the membrane, though it does disclose that thickness variables are determined based on the pressure that will be experienced (Col. 7 lines 30-34). However, Fischer et al. teaches a membrane with a slit that opens due to fluid pressure as a result of a plunger being inserted into a syringe barrel (Fig. 3 elements 50, 52, Col. 6 lines 6-9) and that the membrane has a preferred thickness of about .01 inches to .05 inches (Col. 6 line 5). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use a first membrane with a thickness of .01- .035 inches as in claim 5 or between .01 and .05 inches as in claim 6 in the device of Moorehead as such is an art recognized membrane thickness range as exemplified in the teachings of Fischer et al.

Moreover, the membrane thickness range is taken to be a result effective variable routinely optimized to correspond to the pressure the membrane will be exposed to.

With regard to claim 7, Moorehead teaches a pressure activated valve substantially as claimed. Moorehead differs from claim 7 in that it does not teach the thickness of the mounting portion to be between 1 and 20 times the thickness of the lumen occluding portion. However, Fischer et al. teaches a preferred membrane thickness of about .01 to .05 inches (Col. 6 line 5). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to apply the thickness range suggested in Fischer et al. to the first membrane and base member (Figs. 3 and 7 elements 128,

124) in Moorehead as applied to claims 5 and 6. It directly follows that the thickness of the seating portion will be between 1 and 20 times the thickness of the lumen occluding portion.

Response to Amendment

 The amendments to the claims have been entered and overcome the previous objection to claim 4

Response to Arguments

11. Applicant's arguments filed February 22, 2010 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The rejections under 35 U.S.C. 112 regarding the recitation of the minority portion are maintained. The drawings and disclosure do not sufficiently encompass the recitation that the seating portion covers a minority of a surface area of the lumen occluding portion. Though the specification discloses the seating portion to be at the periphery there is no supporting disclosure as to the size or span of the area of the periphery. Lacking further definition the periphery of a device could encompass the majority or minority of a surface area.

Regarding Applicant's arguments with respect to Moorehead, the Examiner has identified portions 124 and 128 to constitute the flow control membrane. The scating portion is the portion of the membrane that seats against portion 115/119 generally in the area of 124. The thickness of the seating portion comprises both members 124 and 128 and is therefore thicker than the lumen occluding portion which is not covered by member 124.

Application/Control Number: 10/768,629

Art Unit: 3767

Conclusion

 THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Emily Schmidt whose telephone number is (571) 270-3648. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday 7:30 AM to 5:00 PM (EST).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin Sirmons can be reached on (571) 272-4965. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Emily Schmidt/ Examiner, Art Unit 3767

/Patricia Bianco/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3772